## 00774

1964/01/25

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 <del>1</del> 011	ſ	
JOINT MESS	GEFORM	SECURITY CLASS	SEADE	ccs	
	· 		THE PROPERTY.		
		ED FOR COMMUNICATION	CHARTINI A	CAG .	
REC. STF. MS			1530z0		- Taire de Conspinsion
25 JAN 64 15	28	25 JAH	DHM CENTER	CAV	
PRECEDENCE   (	<b>}-}-\</b>	(CBeck) ACCOUNTING	ORIG. OR REFERE	TO CLA	SEIFICATION REFERENCE
NEO ROUTING U. U.	BOOK MULT	X AF	ORIG		
FROM:	<del></del>	12 12	7 02.24	SPECIAL IN	STRUCTIO
CSAF	DECLASSIFE As per instructions of	ED Chryman /			BUTTOI
ro: SAC	Pla	Date 6/2/9/			•
				AFCC	
SECRET FROM A	Fecs 87	638		AFCV AFXI	1
				AFXC	1
SUBJ: (U) Feasibi	lity of Peace	time Launches	from ICBM	AFXC	~ ~ ~ ′
perational Sites.	This massage	a in faur mark	e .	H-F-6	PRQ-
Thermoround DICES!	THIS MASSER	o ru rour bart	<b>5</b> .		-1
PART I. I share ye	our concern i	n the developm	ent of true		
eliability factor	s for our ICB	M systems and	agree that		9Ed 5:469
launching from ope	rational site	s is desirable	and	in F	
attainable within	the foreseeab	le future. Be	assured	17.6	7 7
that all considera	tions were ca	refully evalua	ted during	0.3	
the intensive stud	•	•	••	30.1	E H
their review of yo	ur proposed p	lans. With re	ference to		, F. II
your proposals con	cerning-liqui	d fueled syste	ms, their		TERVALS
acceptability was	based on: th	e relatively s	hort		
overflight of land		/	•		
safety afforded by	f air			23	TIME
test ranges, with	final approva	l to be contin	gent upon	MONTH	YEAR
<del></del>				Jan	1964
AFXOPSM		John	Kleste	Y	
Maj Cullen/rat		E TYPED (or stampe	:	· · ·	
PHONE 75715	PAGE 1 NR. OF	3 S JOHN K. HE	STER ral US Air For	CO	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	William Commence	Assistant	Vice Chief of	Staff	
A PER	F 1"	1" 1			

JOINT MESSAGEFORM - CONTINUATION SHEET

SECRETION

**87638** 

ROW

CSAF

he case of your Minuteman proposal, examination of the planned flight profile indicated first stage impact just short of the Canadian Border; second stage in the Hudson Bay; with re-entry vehicle impact in the North Atlantic area off the coast of Greenland. Since a malfunction similar to the failure of thrust termination control experienced on the first Minuteman Operational Test launch could result in overflight of Canada and Western Europe with flight termination in Africa, the political aspects of much greater The negative reaction by the Secretary of the Air Force and myself to your Minuteman proposal was based primarily on our concern of possible international and political implications. In my opinion, this consideration remains as the major constraint against launching Minuteman missiles from existing operational sites at this time. I am concerned about the realism of the

demonstrated improved reliability and confidence. In

PART II. I am concerned about the realism of the Vandenberg AFB Operational Tests and have recommended to the Secretary of Defense that a Minuteman Unit, of at least squadron size, be provided and suitably located in order that repetitive launching can be made with operational realism. However, the Secretary of Defense is not convinced that the information derived from

SYMBOL AFXOPSM PAGE NR OF SECURITY OF PAGES 2 3

INITIALS

**☆** Ø. ■. (

CSAF

firings from an isolated squadron is sufficiently better than that obtained from Vandenberg AFB tests to justify the increased construction, investment, and operational costs involved. Accordingly, he has deferred action on this proposal until FY 1966.

PART III. With regard to your question concerning the DOD reaction to the Joint Chiefs of Staff Memorandum on operational site launchings, the Secretary of Defense believes that launching from Malmstrom is not possible. at this time but that plans should be continued for the conduct of launchings from operational sites with the Improved Minuteman having a 360° target azimuth capability. Because of the limited record of past performance in liquid fueled systems, he further states that he does not have high confidence that such tests would be completely successful. Therefore, he has decided that it would be premature to approve such a proposal. He has requested QUOTE the plan for firing of Atlas and Titan from operational sites be periodically reviewed for up-to-date applicability, and the subject raised again by 1 November 1964 UNQUOTE.

I feel that we should continue to plan for PART IV. operational Atesting to demonstrate Weapon System Reliability at the appropriate time

Gp 4.

AFXOPSM

3